HON'BLE SHRI G.S.SINGHVI, THE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.3092 OF 2007

Between:

Bh.Satyanarayana Sarma

. . .Petitioner

AND

The Visakhapatnam Urban Development
Authority and others

. . .Respondents

:: ORDER ::

Counsel for Respondent No.1 : Ms. Preethi Reddy

26th February, 2007

-

PER G.S.SINGHVI, CJ

Letter sent by Bh.Satyanarayana Sarma, resident of Quarter No.C-1, A.I.R. Colony, Siripuram Junction, Visakhapatnam with the complaint of noise pollution caused due to use of microphones and other instruments in the Open Air Auditorium – Gurajada Kala Kshetram at Siripuram Colony, which was being leased out for Visakhapatnam Urban Development Authority without restricting the statutory sound levels and timings, was treated by one of us (the Chief Justice) as a petition in public interest.

On 22.02.2007, notice was issued to the respondents and Shri Kuldeep Jadhav appearing for Smt. V. Preethi Reddy, Standing Counsel for Visakhapatnam Urban Development Authority was directed to seek instructions and make a statement whether or not the management of Visakhapatnam Urban Development Authority is willing to voluntarily stop leasing out Open Air Auditorium till the end of May, 2007.

Today, Smt. Preethi Reddy placed before the Court a statement signed by Secretary, Urban Development Authority, Visakhapatnam containing details of various programmes scheduled to be held between 24-02-2007 and 20-05-2007. In reply to the Court's query, Smt. Preethi Reddy stated that none of the organizers of the programmes will be allowed to use micropohones or loud speakers or any sound amplifier after 9 p.m. and in no case loud music etc. will be allowed to be played during the course of programmes specified in the statement.

In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for

respondent No.1, we do not consider it necessary to pass any further order in the matter and close the case.

A copy of this order be sent to Shri Bh. Satyanarayana Sarma, so that if he finds any violation of the undertaking given before the Court, then he may file miscellaneous petition for revival of the writ petition.

G.S.SINGHVI, CJ

C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY, J

Date: 26.02.2007

kvni